IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.273 of 2006
BIBI HASINA KHATUN & ORS
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR & ANR
---

5. 21.8.2008. Learned counsel for opposite party no. 2 submitted that the cognizance has already been taken against the petitioners.

This revision has been preferred against the order of further enquiry directed by Additional Sessions Judge-1, Purnea in Cr. Revision No. 131 of 2002.

Under the facts and circumstances, it is quite clear that this application has become infructuous in view of the fact that cognizance has already been taken against the petitioners. Accordingly, this Cr. Revision is dismissed as being infructuous.

However, the petitioners are at liberty to challenge the order of cognizance, if so advised, in a separate proceeding.

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL

kanchan **(Ghanshyam Prasad, J.)**